

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,102	09/12/2003	Milan Visnic	U 014811-7	6567	
140 7	590 07/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY		HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE			
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			3635	3635	
		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,102	VISNIC ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yvonne M. Horton	3635	

Advisory Action	10/661,102	VISNIC ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yvonne M. Horton	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	IE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	walling and allow period out for all in o	O. 10 11.01 (a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	•	TE below);	•				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al 	- · · · · · · ·	timely filed amondme	ant concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subifiitted iii a separate,	unlery med amendme	an canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. In Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	NO(s)	-				
13. Other:							
(MAD		D. Friedman					
~\\W\H		ry Patent Examiner	•				
144	(Group 3600					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the applicant's argument that (10') is not defined in the specification, although erroneously there may be no written description of (10'), the drawing figures clearly details (10') as a lower portion of the wall. Further, it is clear from one having skill in the art that the element (10') is truly a portion of the wall member.